Slide 1

technische universität
dortmund

Computational Intelligence

Winter Term 2015/16

Prof. Dr. Günter Rudolph

Lehrstuhl für Algorithm Engineering (LS 11)

Fakultät für Informatik

TU Dortmund

Slide 2

Contents

- Ant algorithms   (combinatorial optimization)
- Particle swarm algorithms   (optimization in $\mathbb{R}^n$)

Slide 3

metaphor

swarms of bird or fish   ants or termites

seeking for food   seeking for food

concepts:
- evaluation of own current situation
- comparison with other conspecific
- imitation of behavior of successful conspecifics

concepts:
- communication / coordination by means of „stigmergy"
- reinforcement learning
  → positive feedback

$\Rightarrow$ audio-visual communication $\Rightarrow$ olfactoric communication

Slide 4

ant algorithms   (ACO: Ant Colony Optimization)

paradigm for design of metaheuristics for combinatorial optimization

stigmergy = indirect communication through modification of environment

$\sim$ 1991 Colorni / Dorigo / Maniezzo: Ant System (also: 1. ECAL, Paris 1991)
  Dorigo (1992): collective behavior of social insects (PhD)

some facts:
- about 2% of all insects are social
- about 50% of all social insects are ants
- total weight of all ants = total weight of all humans
- ants populate earth since 100 millions years
- humans populate earth since 50.000 years

double bridge experiment (Deneubourg et al. 1990, Goss et al. 1989)

nest   food   nest   food

initially:
both bridges used equally often

finally:
all ants run over single bridge only!

finally:
all ants use the shorter bridge!

How does it work?

● ants place pheromons on their way

● routing depends on concentration of pheromons

more detailed:

ants that use shorter bridge return faster

→ pheromone concentration higher on shorter bridge

→ ants choose shorter bridge more frequently than longer bridge

→ pheromon concentration on shorter bridge even higher

→ even more ants choose shorter bridge

→ a.s.f.

positive feedback loop

Ant System (AS) 1991

combinatorial problem:

● components $C = \{ c_1, c_2, ..., c_n \}$

● feasible set $F \subseteq 2^C$

● objective function f: $2^C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

ants = set of concurrent (or parallel) asynchronous agents
move through <u>state of problems</u>

↓

partial solutions of problems

→ caused by movement of ants the final solution is compiled incrementally

---

movement: stochastic local decision

(2 parameters)

'trails'   'attractiveness'

paths   excitement, stimulus

while constructing the solution (if possible), otherwise at the end:

1. evaluation of solutions

2. modification of 'trail value' of components on the path

feedback

ant k in state i

• determine all possible continuations of current state i

• choice of continuation according to probability distribution p_{ij}

p_{ij} = q(attractivity, amount of pheromone)

heuristic is based on *a priori* desirability of the move

a posteriori desirability of the move „how rewarding was the move in the past?"

• update of pheromone amount on the paths:
  as soon as all ants have compiled their solutions
  good solution ↑ increase amount of pheromone, otherwise decrease ↓

Combinatorial Problems (Example TSP)

TSP:

• ant starts in arbitrary city i

• pheromone on edges (i, j): τ_{ij}

• probability to move from i to j: $\quad p_{ij}^{(t)} = \dfrac{\tau_{ij}^{\alpha}\, \eta_{ij}^{\beta}}{\sum\limits_{k \in \mathcal{N}_i(t)} \tau_{ik}^{\alpha}\, \eta_{ik}^{\beta}} \quad$ for $j \in \mathcal{N}_i(t)$

• η_{ij} = 1/d_{ij} ; d_{ij} = distance between city i and j

• α = 1 and $\beta \in [2, 5]$ (empirical), $\rho \in (0,1)$ "evaporation rate"

• $\mathcal{N}_i(t)$ = neighborhood of i at time step t (without cities already visited)

• update of pheromone after μ journeys of ants: $\quad \tau_{ij} := \rho\,\tau_{ij} + \sum\limits_{k=1}^{\mu} \Delta\tau_{ij}(k)$

• $\Delta\tau_{ij}(k)$ = 1 / (tour length of ant k), if (i,j) belongs to tour

---

two additional mechanisms:

1. *trail evaporation*

2. *demon actions* (for centralized actions; not executable in general)

Ant System (AS) is prototype

tested on TSP-Benchmark → not competitive

→ but: works in principle!

subsequent: 2 targets

1. increase efficiency (→ competitiveness with *state-of-the-art* method)

2. better explanation of behavior

1995 ANT-Q (Gambardella & Dorigo), simplified: 1996 ACS *ant colony system*

Particle Swarm Optimization (PSO)
↓
abstraction from fish / bird / bee swarm

paradigm for design of metaheuristics for <u>continuous</u> optimization

developed by Russel Eberhard & James Kennedy (~1995)

concepts:
• particle (x, v) consists of position $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and "velocity" (i.e. direction) $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$
• PSO maintains multiple potential solutions at one time
• during each iteration, each solution/position is evaluated by an objective function
• particles "fly" or "swarm" through the search space
  to find position of an extremal value returned by the objective function

**PSO update of particle (x_i, v_i) at iteration t**

1st step:

$$v_i(t+1) = \omega\, v_i(t) + \gamma_1\, R_1\,(x_b^*(t) - x_i(t)) + \gamma_2\, R_2\,(x^*(t) - x_i(t))$$

const.   const.   const.

random variable   random variable

best solution among all solutions of iteration t ≥ 0   best solution among all solutions up to iteration t ≥ 0

$$x_b^*(t) = \operatorname*{argmin}_{i=1,\dots,\mu}\{f(x_i(t))\} \qquad x^*(t) = \operatorname*{argmin}_{\tau=0,\dots,t}\{f(x_b^*(\tau))\}$$

PSO update of particle (x_i, v_i) at iteration t

1st step:

$$v_i(t+1) = \omega\, v_i(t) + \gamma_1\, R_1\,(x_b^*(t) - x_i(t)) + \gamma_2\, R_2\,(x^*(t) - x_i(t))$$

new direction   old direction   direction from $x_i(t)$ to $x_b^*(t)$ direction from $x_i(t)$ to $x^*(t)$

ω : inertia factor, often $\in [0.8, 1.2]$
γ_1 : cognitive factor, often $\in [1.7, 2.0]$
γ_2 : social factor, often $\in [1.7, 2.0]$
R_1 : positive r.v., often $r_1 \sim U[0,1]$
R_2 : positive r.v., often $r_2 \sim U[0,1]$

PSO update of particle (x_i, v_i) at iteration t

2nd step:

$$x_i(t+1) = x_i(t) + v_i(t+1)$$

new position   old position   new direction

Note the similarity to the concept of mutative step size control in EAs: first change the step size (direction), then use changed step size (direction) for changing position.

More swarm algorithms:

- Artificial Bee Colony

- Krill Herd Algorithm

- Firefly Algorithm

- Glowworm Swarm

- ...

But be watchful:

Is there a new algorithmic idea inspired from the biological system?

Take a look at the code / formulas: Discover similarities & differences!

Often: "Old wine in new skins."